## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION CRIMINAL CASE NO. 1:22-cr-00091-MR-WCM-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
vs.	ORDER
ROBERT SCOTT BREEDEN,	(
Defendant.	) )
	<b> /</b>

**THIS MATTER** is before the Court on the Government's Motion to Seal [Doc. 35], as amended [Doc. 38].

The Government moves the Court for leave to file unredacted Sentencing Memoranda [Docs. 34, 37], under seal in this case. For grounds, counsel states that the unredacted memoranda concern restitution, and as such contain certain information regarding victims and payment information. [Docs. 35, 38]. While the Government's motion does not explicitly state what information is seeking to be sealed, the unredacted memoranda include such information as the "series name" and pseudonyms associated with minor victims. [See Docs. 34, 37]. The Government has filed redacted versions of the memoranda in the public record. [Docs. 36, 39].

Before sealing a court document, the Court must "(1) provide public notice of the request to seal and allow interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object, (2) consider less drastic alternatives to sealing the documents, and (3) provide specific reasons and factual findings supporting its decision to seal the documents and for rejecting the alternatives." Ashcraft v. Conoco, Inc., 218 F.3d 288, 302 (4th Cir. 2000). In the present case, the public has been provided with adequate notice and an opportunity to object to the Government's motion. The Government filed its motion on August 24, 2023, and it has been accessible to the public through the Court's electronic case filing system since that time. In addition to filing its motion, the Government has filed redacted versions of the Sentencing Memoranda that are accessible to the public. [Docs. 36, 39]. Further, the Government has demonstrated that the redacted portions of the memoranda contain sensitive information and that the public's right of access to such information is substantially outweighed by the Government's competing interest in protecting the details of such information. See United States v. Harris, 890 F.3d 480, 492 (4th Cir. 2018). Finally, having considered less drastic alternatives to sealing the documents, the Court concludes that sealing of the unredacted memoranda is necessary to protect the privacy interests of the minor victims.

Accordingly, the Government's Motion to Seal, as amended, is granted, and counsel shall be permitted to file the unredacted versions of its memoranda under seal.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Government's Motion to Seal [Doc. 35], as amended [Doc. 38], is **GRANTED**, and the Government's unredacted Sentencing Memoranda [Docs. 34, 37] shall be filed under seal and shall remain under seal until further Order of the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: August 29, 2023

Martin Reidinger

Chief United States District Judge